文章编号:1009-9603(2019)04-0033-10

DOI:10.13673/j.cnki.cn37-1359/te.2019.04.005

博兴洼陷大芦湖油田沙三段中亚段 二砂组异重流沉积特征

摘要:针对博兴洼陷大芦湖油田樊162和樊3区块沙三段中亚段二砂组沉积规律认识不清的问题,综合岩心、测井、 地震及分析化验等资料,对其沉积特征、沉积演化模式、沉积充填模式等进行系统研究。结果表明,研究区发育流 水成因的波状层理和爬升波纹层理,黑色碳质植物碎屑、红褐色泥砾和层状泥岩等陆源物质常见,逆-正粒序单元 成对出现,并发育层内冲刷面,为半深湖-深湖背景下的异重流沉积。异重流的发育主要受鲁西隆起北部三角洲影 响,是洪水沿三角洲前缘水道继续向前推进形成的。随洪水能量的变化,经历2期弱-强-弱的沉积演化过程,形成 上、下2期扇体,发育顶平底凸透镜状的沉积充填样式,且扇体顶部具有一套向物源减薄的无根细粒沉积。在综合 分析气候、地形、构造等条件的基础上,建立了内扇-中扇-外扇的异重流沉积模式。 关键词:异重流;沉积特征;沉积演化;沉积模式;大芦湖油田 中图分类号:TE121.3 **文献标识码:**A

Sedimentary characteristics of hyperpycnal flows in the 2nd sand group of middle Es₃ Member in Daluhu Oilfield, Boxing Subsag

CHEN Yanyan¹, LIN Chengyan^{1,2}, MA Cunfei^{1,2}, REN Lihua^{1,2}, LIANG Shuyi³, WANG Huili³, BIAN Bo⁴

(1.School of Geosciences, China University of Petroleum(East China), Qingdao City, Shandong Province, 266580, China; 2.Reservoir Geology Key Laboratory, Shandong Province, Qingdao City, Shandong Province, 266580, China; 3.Dongsheng Petroleum Development Stock Co., Ltd., Shengli Oilfield, Dongying City, Shandong Province, 257200, China; 4.Binnan Oil Production Plant, Shengli Oilfield Company, SINOPEC, Binzhou City, Shandong Province, 256606, China)

Abstract: No consensus has been reached on the depositional types in the 2^{nd} sand group of middle Es_3 Member in Fan162 and Fan3 Blocks of Daluhu Oilfield, Boxing Subsag. Based on cores, logging data, seismic data and analytical data, the sedimentary characteristics, sedimentary evolution and depositional model in the 2^{nd} sand group of middle Es_3 Member were systematically studied. The results show that hyperpycnal flows deposits in semideep-deep lake are regarded to mainly develop in the study area, and are characterized by: ①wave cross-bedding and climbing ripples bedding formed by water flow; ②a large amount of terrestrial material, such as black carbonaceous plant debris, reddish-brown mud boulder and layered mudstone; ③a series of coarsening upward interval and fining upward interval always appear in pairs with inner erosion surface. The hyperpycnal flows are controlled by flood influx which transports sediments to the delta front in the Northern Luxi Uplift. Two-stage deposition caused by waxing and waning flows shows a depositional tendency from weak to strong and then to weak. The main body of hyperpycnal flow developed a lentoid filling model and the lofting facies subsided to form a

收稿日期:2019-03-18。

作者简介:陈雁雁(1993—),女,山东东营人,在读硕士研究生,从事储层地质学及油藏描述研究。联系电话:15763949945, E-mail:zgsy-cyy@163.com。

通信作者:马存飞(1987--),男,山东泰安人,讲师,博士。联系电话:15853264119,E-mail:mcf-625@163.com。

基金项目:国家科技重大专项"低渗-致密油藏描述新方法与开发模式"(2017ZX05009-001),山东省自然科学基金项目"深湖相泥页岩纹 层成因及其环境指示意义"(ZR2018BD014)。

set of rootless fine-grained sediments without homochronous channel in late deposition period. Based on comprehensive analysis of climate, topography, structure, etc., the depositional model of "inner fan-middle fan-outer fan" was constructed. **Key words**: hyperpycnal flow; sedimentary characteristics; sedimentary evolution; depositional model; Daluhu Oilfield

异重流是洪水期河口直接注入、因密度大于汇 水盆地水体密度而沿水体底部分层流动的持续型 浊流^[1]。异重流作为深水搬运新的重要类型近年来 被广泛关注[2-5],并且越来越多的证据也证明了异重 流的存在。BATES在研究三角洲时最早给出了异 重流的定义^[6];MULDER等对异重流的定义做了补 充,并将其与激发性浊流区分开来^[2,7-8];ZAVALA等 通过对多个盆地中新生代异重流沉积的调研,对异 重流的成因演化、沉积特征、岩相类型、沉积相序等 做出了详细讨论[9-12]。中国学者赵澂林等提出洪水 型湖底扇与异重流沉积特征相似[13-14];杨仁超等在 鄂尔多斯盆地南部延长组发现了条带状的异重流 沉积,认为大型坳陷型湖盆也能够形成异重流[15-17]; 杨田等在济阳坳陷东营凹陷牛庄东坡沙三段中亚 段下部、梁家楼湖底扇和渤南洼陷沙三段中亚段发 现了异重流发育的证据[18];孙福宁等结合中生代湖 相异重流研究的实例,建立了理想条件下"沟道-舌 状体-朵状体(近端-中部-远端)"的异重流沉积模 式^[1]。但目前异重流主要停留在理论研究阶段,没 有建立经典沉积模式,缺乏实际应用。

在沙三段中亚段沉积时期,博兴洼陷南部、鲁 西隆起北部发育大量三角洲沉积,但对于三角洲前 端深洼区的沉积微相类型一直存在较大争议。郭 旭升认为主要发育滑塌浊积岩^[19];韩祥磊等认为是 湖浪重新改造后形成的滩坝砂体^[20];李维岭等认为 主要发育湖底扇中扇辫状水道和水道间沉积^[21];李 春芹认为是滨浅湖沉积^[22]。笔者认为大芦湖油田 樊162和樊3区块是洪水沿三角洲前缘继续向前搬 运形成的异重流沉积,总结出不同沉积微相的沉积 特征和测井相特征,分析沉积相演化发育规律和沉 积地层充填特点,通过对气候、水深、密度、地形、物 源等有利条件的综合分析,建立异重流沉积模式。

1 区域地质概况

樊162和樊3区块位于博兴洼陷大芦湖油田西 北部的深洼区,面积约为9.5 km²。博兴洼陷是东营 凹陷西部的次级洼陷,西靠高青凸起,北邻平南构 造,南接鲁西隆起,东部以石村断裂带分界,整体上 呈北低南高、西低东高的特征,内部继承性发育的 金家-樊家鼻状构造又将洼陷划分为东、西2个次级 洼陷,研究区位于金家-樊家鼻状构造带北部倾没端东侧的凹坑内(图1)。通过测井曲线的对比,将目的层沙三段中亚段二砂组细分为上、下2个亚砂组,共7小层。沙三段沉积时期,博兴洼陷沉积主要受三大物源体系控制,即来自鲁西隆起南部和东南部的三角洲体系、来自青城凸起的近岸水下扇体系。沙三段中亚段沉积早期,随着物源的推进,南部三角洲范围逐渐扩大,基本覆盖西南部。受鼻状构造带的遮挡,鲁西隆起东南部三角洲体系前缘在洪水的作用下继续向前推进,在研究区形成异重流沉积。



Fig.1 Regional geological location of Fan162 and Fan3 Blocks

2 沉积特征

2.1 岩石组分

研究区岩石类型主要为岩屑长石砂岩和长石 岩屑砂岩(图2),石英、长石和岩屑平均含量分别为 39%,35%和27%。其中,钾长石平均含量为 17.5%,斜长石平均含量为16.5%。岩屑组分以岩浆 岩岩屑和变质岩岩屑为主,平均含量分别为13.3% 和13.2%,沉积岩岩屑较少,少数含螺化石碎屑。填 隙物中杂基主要为泥质杂基,其次为云质杂基;胶 结物以铁方解石、白云石为主,高岭石胶结也普遍 发育。

2.2 沉积构造及沉积序列

研究区沙三段中亚段二砂组广泛发育多种类型的层理和层面构造(图3),除常见的交错层理和 平行层理外,在顶部水动力较弱的细粒沉积区发育 爬升波纹层理和波状层理。另外,底部还发育底流





冲刷形成的底模构造。由于异重流沉积是陆上河 流携带的沉积物在盆地内卸载而成,因此富含丰富 的陆源植物碎屑和红褐色泥质。在顶部细粒沉积 区,剖面上碳质植物碎屑常成层分布,沿层剥开可 见大量的碳质植物碎屑顺层排列。也有部分碳质 植物碎屑与砂岩沉积均匀混合,为同沉积形成。激 发性浊流(盆内浊流)搬运速度快,水流对轻质组分 如碳质植物碎屑的筛洗能力较强,因此常不含碳质 植物碎屑,黑色碳质植物碎屑的发育是异重流区别 于激发性浊流(盆内浊流)的典型特征之一^[11]。另 外,洪水带来的红褐色泥质主要以2种形态分布:一 种是以泥砾和泥岩撕裂屑的形式分布在层内冲刷 面附近;另一种是以夹层分布的红褐色泥岩条带,



a—上部发育楔状交错层理、下部发育平行层理,樊154-1井,2674.4m;b—小型槽状交错层理,樊154-1井,2673.4m;c—平行 层理,樊154-8井,2736.2m;d—底模构造,樊154-8井,2723.5m;e—逆-正粒序频繁互层,樊3-斜2井,2806.6m;f—爬升波 纹层理和波状层理,樊2-斜2井,2792.8m;g—成层分布的黑色碳质植物碎屑,樊162-1井,2660.94m;h—顺层排列的黑色碳 质植物碎屑,樊3-斜2井,2762.1m;i—冲刷面,螺化石发育,裂缝发育,樊162-斜7井,2702.1m;j—层内冲刷和泥岩撕裂屑, 樊162-斜7井,2732.7m;k—红褐色泥岩条带,樊162-31井,2698.1m;l—红褐色泥岩条带和黑色碳质纹层,樊3-斜2井, 2791.1m;m—冲刷面,樊162-31井,2662.6m;n—层内冲刷和红褐色泥砾,樊154-1井,2677.8m

图 3 樊 162 和樊 3 区块沙三段中亚段二砂组典型沉积特征

Fig.3 Typical sedimentary characteristic of the 2nd sand group of middle Es₃ Member in Fan162 and Fan3 Blocks

是洪水携带的陆源悬浮组分集中沉降形成的。此 外,由于洪水的长时间持续搬运,异重流沉积物分 选相对较好。

除了沉积构造,异重流在沉积序列上也有典型 特征:由于洪水能量增强-减弱导致逆-正粒序成对 出现(图4a,4b),但由于实际洪水能量变化频繁以 及水道侧向摆动造成的叠加,不能一味地强调逆- 正粒序的成对出现^[5],垂向上的复合叠加是更为常见的组合形式(图4b,4c)^[23]。随着能量的逐渐增强,洪水可对前期形成的逆粒序造成不同程度的侵蚀,形成不对称的逆-正粒序组合或者仅保留上部的正粒序,同时形成层内侵蚀面^[14,24]。除了大尺度的逆-正粒序单元,也存在大量小尺度的逆-正粒序单元,厚度为3~10 cm不等,反映洪水能量在小范围



图 4 樊 154-1、樊 3-斜 2、樊 162-31 井单井沉积相分析 Fig.4 Sedimentological analysis of Wells Fan154-1, Fan3-Xie2, Fan162-31

内的波动。

3 沉积微相类型

在前人研究的基础上,结合研究区实际沉积特征,将异重流沉积划分为内扇、中扇和外扇亚相,并进一步划分出主水道、水下天然堤、辫状水道、辫状水道间、辫状水道前缘砂坝和朵叶共6种沉积微相。

3.1 内扇亚相

内扇亚相主要发育主水道和水下天然堤沉积 微相。内扇沉积区地形坡度较大,洪水流速较高, 沉积物主要为过路侵蚀状态。主水道与三角洲的 水下分流河道直接相连,分叉较少,分布局限^[1,15]。 初期,洪水能量逐渐增强,近端沉积物加速沉积,形 成逆粒序;随着洪水能量进一步增强,中部和远端 开始沉积,近端开始发生侵蚀过路;洪水能量持续 增强,中部和近端发生侵蚀,远端持续沉降;之后洪水能量减弱,流速变缓,近端、中部、远端被正粒序沉积物持续充填^[10]。多期洪水作用,常在近端主水道形成厚层的正粒序叠覆沉积。主水道沉积物粒度较粗,下部主要发育砾岩、砂质砾岩,上部主要发育含砾粗砂岩,部分含螺化石。单砂体厚度为5~8m,SP曲线主要呈箱形和箱形-钟形(图5a),主水道侧缘SP曲线呈光滑漏斗状(图5b)。主水道两侧发育反序的水下天然堤,主要为粉-细砂岩,局部夹薄层含细砾砂岩,平行层理和小型楔状交错层理常见(图4a)。单砂体厚度为2~4m,SP曲线呈明显的漏斗形(图5c)。

3.2 中扇亚相

中扇亚相主要发育辫状水道、辫状水道间、辫 状水道前缘砂坝3种沉积微相。中扇沉积区地形坡 度减缓,洪水能量减弱,侵蚀沟道发生分叉、汇



Fig.5 Well logging shapes of the microfacies of hyperpycnal flows in the 2nd group of middle Es₃ Member in Fan162 and Fan3 Blocks

合[1,15],横向迁移较频繁,沉积物发生卸载。辫状水 道下部发育砂质砾岩和含砾粗砂岩,中上部发育中 粗砂岩,部分含螺化石,可见明显的叠置冲刷和底 模构造,顶部发育泥质粉砂-细砂岩(夹碳质植物碎 屑),常见平行层理、楔状交错层理、小型槽状交错 层理(图4)。单砂体厚度为2~4m,当洪水冲刷强烈 时,SP曲线呈齿化的箱形或箱形-钟形(图5d);当洪 水能量较弱时,底部逆粒序未完全侵蚀,SP曲线呈 不对称的漏斗形-钟形(图5e),存在层内微侵蚀面。 垂直和顺水道主流线方向,沉积物粒度逐渐变细, 厚度逐渐减薄,由单一的正粒序向逆粒序-正粒序 组合单元转化,由底冲刷逐渐向层内冲刷和无冲刷 过渡,SP曲线也逐渐呈对称的漏斗形-钟形(图5f)。 辫状水道间位于辫状水道两侧,主要为泥质粉砂-中细砂岩(夹碳质植物碎屑),常见逆-正粒序复合 沉积(图4a),也可见正粒序或逆粒序叠置出现(图 4b,4c),发育平行层理、楔状交错层理、爬升波纹交 错层理,顶部或底部的细粒沉积富含层状黑色碳质 植物碎屑。SP曲线主要呈对称的卵形(图5g)和菱 形(图 5h),也发育叠置的漏斗形或钟形,层内微侵 蚀面减少。辫状水道末端发育辫状水道前缘砂坝, 沉积物粒度较细,主要为泥质粉砂-粉细砂岩,多期 逆粒序叠置组合,SP曲线主要呈叠置的漏斗状,洪 水衰退时,顶部局部发育正粒序细粒沉积(图5i)。

3.3 外扇亚相

外扇亚相主要发育朵叶微相,沉积物粒度普遍 偏细,主要为异重流减速形成的末端沉积。该沉积 微相逆粒序-正粒序已经不明显,层内冲刷也不发 育,无沟道,陆源植物碎屑也相对减少,主要为粉 砂-泥岩(图4a),常见水平层理。砂泥互层,砂体厚 度为0.2~1m不等,SP曲线呈小幅度指状(图5j)。

4 沉积相演化规律

通过对沉积相展布与长石含量等方面的分析, 认为博兴洼陷南坡物源来自鲁西隆起^[20,25-29];也有 人通过对重矿物组合分析认为博兴洼陷的沉积物 源不仅受鲁西隆起的影响,郑庐断裂带东侧的胶东 地块也是物源之一^[30-31]。通过对砂砾岩分布特征和 沉积相展布的研究认为,研究区发育的异重流扇体 主要受来自鲁西隆起东南部三角洲物源的控制,是 三角洲前缘洪水继续向盆地搬运形成。

沙三段中亚段二砂组沉积时期,构造活动提供 充足的碎屑物质,沉积物沉积速率大于新增可容空 间速率,发育湖退体系域^[32-33],南部缓坡带形成一系 列强进积式的三角洲。湿润的气候条件下,持续的 周期性洪水沿三角洲前缘的水道将碎屑物质向盆 地低洼处持续搬运,形成异重流沉积。目的层沙三 段中亚段二砂组自上而下分为2-1和2-2亚砂组, 又分别分为4个和3个小层,其对应的沉积相发育 反映了洪水能量弱-强-弱的变化过程。2-2亚砂组 沉积初期物源偏南东东向,洪水能量较弱,粗碎屑 分布范围较小(图 6a)。随着洪水能量增加,沉积范 围逐渐增大,2-2-2小层是第1期洪水砂体的主要 发育期(图6b)。2-2亚砂组沉积末期,洪水能量衰 减,发育一套薄层细粒沉积物(图6c),厚度为0.5~ 1.5 m,从扇端向物源方向厚度逐渐减薄。第2期洪 水来临前,2-1和2-2亚砂组间快速沉积一套厚度 为10m左右的深湖-半深湖相灰色泥页岩和油页 岩。第2期洪水来临时形成2-1亚砂组,沉积过程 与2-2亚砂组基本相似,都经历了洪水能量弱-强-弱的变化过程(图6d-6g),物源偏南东南向。2期



d—2-1-4小层 e—2-1-3小层 f—2-1-2小层 图 6 樊162 和樊3 区块沙三段中亚段二砂组沉积演化

Fig.6 Sedimentary evolution of the 2nd sand group of middle Es₃ Member in Fan162 and Fan3 Blocks

砂体顶部都分布一套无根细粒沉积物(图 6c, 6g), 从扇端向物源方向厚度逐渐减薄,无同期水道微相 匹配,不适用沃尔索相律,这是异重流沉积的典型 特征之一。该套沉积物主要是在异重流头部减速 阶段,由于水动力减弱,沉降组分逐渐分离,当上浮 组分和淡水的混合流体密度低于周围蓄水体时,发 生浮力反转^[10],形成的上浮相在上覆水体补偿回流 的带动下,向异重流流向的相反方向运动,在运动 过程中逐渐沉降形成。

5 沉积模式

异重流的形成要受构造、地形、气候、水体密度、水深等因素的综合影响。济阳坳陷基底在古近纪发生大幅度伸展裂陷^[34-36],鲁西地区快速抬升,加 刷了盆、山之间的构造分异。强烈的构造活动提供 了丰富的碎屑物质,致使沉积物高速充填^[32],南部 鲁西隆起的三角洲快速进积,湖泊面积缩小,相对 湖平面不断下降。同时盆地大幅度沉降,使得湖盆 边缘坡度变陡(异重流沉积对坡度的要求一般大于 0.7°^[37-38])。前人对东营凹陷古近纪气候的研究表 明,沙三段中亚段沉积时期,气候湿润,雨量充 足^[39-40],发育持续的周期性洪水,蓄水体密度低。在 有利的气候、地形、构造、水体密度、水深等条件下, 洪水不断沿三角洲前缘水道向盆地深洼处推进,形 成异重流沉积。

g-2-1-1小层

水下重力流的类型并不单一,往往是多种类型的组合,且不同类型间可发生转换^[41-48]。初期,洪水 携带的高密度沉积物卸载形成碎屑流;随着洪水能 量增强,洪水侵蚀前期沉积物和床底软泥^[16],继续 以碎屑流的形式向下游搬运。随着含有大量悬浮 组分的流体向前搬运,异重流成为主体。研究区碎 屑流沉积局限在主水道中下部,整体以异重流为主 (图7)。异重流主体内部处于牛顿流变性质、紊乱 流动状态,沉积物颗粒靠湍流支撑^[5,49]。虽然其底 床载荷表现出牵引流性质,但并不表明其为牵引 流^[1,23,49]。

异重流砂体的展布受地形的影响较大,其头部 虽没有侵蚀作用,但会引导异重流体部的砂体不断 向盆地低洼处充填(图8)¹⁰。因此,沿主流线方向 和垂直主流线两侧,沉积物粒度逐渐变细,由底床 载荷向悬浮载荷,再向上浮相逐渐过渡,并且沉积











厚度也逐渐向两端减薄,呈顶平底凸的透镜状(图 8)。另外,沉积体系顶部发育一套以泥质粉细砂岩 为主的无根细粒沉积物,且含有大量黑色碳质纹 层。该套沉积物从扇端向物源方向逐渐减薄,无同 期水道微相匹配,不适用沃尔索相律。

6 结论

博兴洼陷大芦湖油田樊162和樊3区块沙三段 中亚段二砂组沉积时期气候湿润,提供持续的周期 性洪水,蓄水体密度较小;鲁西隆起持续构造抬升, 坡度加大,碎屑物质丰富;高速的沉积物充填,导致 三角洲不断向前推进,湖盆萎缩,相对湖平面下降, 有利于异重流发育。异重流典型特征包括发育大 量的黑色碳质植物碎屑和红褐色泥质条带及泥砾, 逆-正粒序单元成对存在及发育层内冲刷面等。

异重流沉积可进一步分为内扇、中扇和外扇亚 相,其中内扇亚相包括主水道和水下天然堤微相, 中扇亚相包括辫状水道、辫状水道间和水道前缘砂 坝微相,外扇亚相发育朵叶微相。在沉积物沉积过 程中,异重流头部会引导体部的砂体不断向盆地低 洼处充填;沿主流线方向和垂直主流线两侧,由底 床载荷向悬浮载荷,再向上浮相逐渐过渡,沉积物 粒度逐渐变细,沉积厚度向两侧也逐渐减薄,形成 顶平底凸透镜状的地层充填样式;沉积末期,上浮 相再沉降,形成一套无根细粒沉积物,厚度从扇端 向物源方向逐渐减薄,无同期水道微相发育,不适 用沃尔索相律。

参考文献

[1] 孙福宁,杨仁超,李冬月.异重流沉积研究进展[J].沉积学报, 2016,34(3):452-462.

SUN Funing, YANG Renchao, LI Dongyue. Research progresses on hyperpycnal flow deposits [J]. Acta Sedimentologica Sinica, 2016,34(3):452-462.

- [2] MULDER T, MIGEON S, SAVOYE B, et al.Inversely graded turbidite sequences in the deep Mediterranean: a record of deposits from flood-generated turbidity currents?[J].Geo-Marine Letters, 2001,21(2):86-93.
- [3] MULDER T, MIGEON S, SAVOYE B, et al. Reply to discussion by Shanmugam on Mulder et al. (2001, Geo-Marine Letters21: 86-93)Inversely graded turbidite sequences in the deep Mediterranean. A record of deposits from flood-generated turbidity currents?[J].Geo-Marine Letters, 2002, 22(2):112-120.
- [4] PARSONS J D, BUSH J W M, SYVITSKI J P M. Hyperpycnal plume formation from riverine outflows with small sediment concentrations[J].Sedimentology, 2001, 48(2):465–478.
- [5] MULDER T, SYVITSKI J P M, MIGEON S, et al.Marine hyperpycnal flows: initiation, behavior and related deposits. A review [J]. Marine & Petroleum Geology, 2003, 20(6/8):861-882.
- [6] BATES C C.Rational theory of delta formation[J].AAPG Bulletin, 1953,37(9):2119-2162.
- [7] MULDER T, SYVITSKI J P M. Turbidity currents generated at river mouths during exceptional discharges to the world oceans [J]. The Journal of Geology, 1995, 103(3):285–299.
- [8] MULDER T, ALEXANDER J.The physical character of subaqueous sedimentary density flows and their deposits [J].Sedimentology, 2001, 48(2):269-299.
- [9] ZAVALA C, PONCE J J, ARCURI M, et al. Ancient lacustrine hy-

perpycnites: A depositional model from a case study in the Rayoso Formation (Cretaceous) of West–Central Argentina [J]. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 2006, 76(1/2):41–59.

- [10] ZAVALA C, ARCURI M, DI MEGLIO M, et al. A genetic facies tract for the analysis of sustained hyperpycnal flow deposits [M]// SLATT R M, ZAVALA C.Sediment transfer from shelf to deep water-Revisiting the delivery system: AAPG Studies in Geology61, 2011:31-51.
- [11] ZAVALA C, ARCURI M, VALIENTE L B. The importance of plant remains as diagnostic criteria for the recognition of ancient hyperpycnites [J]. Revue De Paleobiologie, 2012, 11 (6) : 457– 469.
- [12] ZAVALA C, ARCURI M.Intrabasinal and extrabasinal turbidites: Origin and distinctive characteristics [J]. Sedimentary Geology, 2016,337(3):36-54.
- [13] 赵澂林,刘孟慧.湖底扇相模式及其在油气预测中的应用[J]. 华东石油学院学报,1984,25(4):323-334.
 ZHAO Zhenglin, LIU Menghui. Facies model of the sublake-fan and its application to oil and gas exploration[J]. Journal of Huadong Petroleum Institute, 1984,25(4):323-334.
- [14] 赵国连,赵澄林,叶连俊.渤海湾盆地"四扇一沟"沉积体系及 其油气意义[J].地质力学学报,2005,11(3):245-258.
 ZHAO Guolian, ZHAO Chenlin, YE Lianjun.Sedimentary system of "Four fans and one channel" in the Bohai Gulf basin and its significance for petroleum exploration[J].Journal of Geomechanics,2005,11(3):245-258.
- [15] 杨仁超,金之钧,孙冬胜,等.鄂尔多斯晚三叠世湖盆异重流沉积新发现[J].沉积学报,2015,33(1):10-20.
 YANG Renchao, JIN Zhijun, SUN Dongsheng, et al. Discovery of hyperpycnal flow deposits in the Late Triassic lacustrine Ordos Basin[J].Acta Sedimentologica Sinica,2015,33(1):10-20.
- [16] YANG R C, JIN Z Y, LOON T V, et al. Climatic and tectonic controls of lacustrine hyperpycnite origination in the Late Triassic Ordos Basin, central China: Implications for unconventional petroleum development[J].AAPG Bulletin, 2017, 101(1):95-117.
- [17] YANG R C, FAN A P, HAN Z Z, et al. Lithofacies and origin of the Late Triassic muddy gravity-flow deposits in the Ordos Basin, central China [J]. Marine & Petroleum Geology, 2017, 85 (5): 194-219.
- [18] 杨田,操应长,王艳忠,等.异重流沉积动力学过程及沉积特征
 [J].地质论评,2015,61(1):23-33.
 YANG Tian, CAO Yingchao, WANG Yanzhong, et al. Sediment dynamics process and sedimentary characteristics of hyperpycnal flows[J].Geological Review,2015,61(1):23-33.
- [19] 郭旭升.高青地区沙三段砂体成因与油气成藏分析[J].石油勘 探与开发,2000,27(6):35-36.
 GUO Xusheng. An analysis of the genesis of sand bodies and oil/

gas reservoir forming in Member S_3 of Gaoqing area[J].Petroleum Exploration and Development, 2000, 27(6): 35–36.

 [20] 韩祥磊,王文林,孙红蕾.博兴洼陷沙三段沉积体系探讨[J].油 气地质与采收率,2003,10(增刊):6-7,18.
 HAN Xianglei, WANG Wenlin, SUN Honglei. Discussion on the sedimentary system of the Es₃ in Boxing Subsag[J].Petroleum Geology and Recovery Efficiency, 2003, 10(Supplement): 6-7, 18.

- [21] 李维岭,姜在兴,张春明,等.东营凹陷博兴洼陷深洼区古近系 沙河街组三段中亚段沉积特征[J].大庆石油学院学报,2012, 36(3):1-7.
 - LI Weiling, JIANG Zaixing, ZHANG Chunming, et al.Sedimentary characteristics of the Mid-sha-3 Member, Shahejie formation, Paleogene in the deep depression area of the Boxing sag, Dongying depression[J].Journal of Daqing Petroleum Institute, 2012, 36 (3):1-7.
- [22] 李春芹.东营凹陷大芦湖油田东北部沙三中亚段沉积体系演 化[J].长江大学学报:自科版,2015,12(14):22-25.
 LI Chunqin.The sedimentary system evolution of the middle member of Es₃ in the northeast of Daluhu Oilfield of Dongying Depression[J].Journal of Yangtze University: Natural Science Edition, 2015,12(14):22-25.
- [23] 谈明轩,朱筱敏,朱世发.异重流沉积过程和沉积特征研究[J]. 高校地质学报,2015,21(1):94-104. TAN Mingxuan,ZHU Xiaomin,ZHU Shifa.Research on sedimentary process and characteristics of hyperpycnal flows[J].Geological Journal of China Universities,2015,21(1):94-104.
- [24] SOYINKA O A, SLATT R M. Identification and micro-stratigraphy of hyperpycnites and turbidites in Cretaceous Lewis Shale, Wyoming[J].Sedimentology, 2008, 55(5):1117-1133.
- [25] 罗佳强.东营凹陷博兴洼陷西部沙三段砂岩体的物源及成因分析[J].油气地质与采收率,2001,8(5):10-13.
 LUO Jiaqiang. Analysis of the material source and origin of Es₃ sand bodies west of Boxing subsag in Dongying sag[J].Petroleum Geology and Recovery Efficiency,2001,8(5):10-13.
- [26] 邱桂强,王居峰,张昕,等.东营三角洲沙河街组三段中亚段地 层格架初步研究及油气勘探意义[J].沉积学报,2001,19(4): 569-574.

QIU Guiqiang, WANG Jufeng, ZHANG Xin, et al. Preliminary study on stratigraphic architecture of Middle–Shasan Dongying Delta and its significance to hydrocarbon exploration [J]. Acta Sedimentologica Sinica, 2001, 19(4):569–574.

- [27] 石世革,张宇.博兴洼陷西部沙河街组三段层序地层分析与岩 性圈闭预测[J].矿物岩石,2003,23(3):87-91.
 SHI Shige, ZHANG Yu. The sequence stratigraphy analysis and lithological trap prediction of Es₃ in the west of Boxing subsag[J]. Journal of Mineralogy & Petrology, 2003,23(3):87-91.
- [28] 林会喜,鄢继华,袁文芳,等.渤海湾盆地东营凹陷古近系沙河 街组三段沉积相类型及平面分布特征[J].石油实验地质, 2005,27(1):55-61.
 LIN Huixi, YAN Jihua, YUAN Wenfang, et al.Sedimentary facies

types of the third member of the Shahejie Formation in the Paleogene of the Dongying Depression, the Bohaiwan Basin and their distribution characters on plane [J].Petroleum Geology & Experiment, 2005, 27(1):55-61.

[29] 韩登林,李忠,李双应,等.鲁西隆起北侧博兴洼陷古近系泥岩 地球化学特征及其构造意义[J].地质科学,2007,42(4):678-689.

HAN Denglin, LI Zhong, LI Shuangying, et al. Geochemical characteristics of Paleogene mudstones in the Boxing sag north of the west Shandong rise and their tectonic implications [J]. Chinese Journal of Geology, 2007, 42(4):678–689.

[30] 石永红,李忠,卜香萍,等.博兴洼陷新生代砂岩碎屑石榴石的 物源示踪及对鲁西隆起的指示[J].沉积学报,2009,27(5): 967-975.

SHI Yonghong, LI Zhong, BU Xiangping, et al. Detrital garnets from Cenozoic sandstones across Boxing Sag for provenace indicator and its implication for the Luxi Uplift[J]. Acta Sedimentologica Sinica, 2009, 27(5):967–975.

- [31] 许承武,李忠,韩登林.鲁西隆起北侧博兴洼陷新生界碎屑重 矿物的物源示踪分析[J].岩石学报,2015,31(9):2737-2744.
 XU Chengwu, LI Zhong, HAN Denglin. The geological implications of the Cenozoic heavy minerals in Boxing sag, north of the west Shandong rise [J]. Acta Petrologica Sinica, 2015, 31(9): 2737-2744.
- [32] 操应长,姜在兴,夏斌,等.陆相断陷湖盆T-R层序的特点及其 控制因素——以东营凹陷古近系沙河街组三段层序地层为例
 [J].地质科学,2004,39(1):111-122.
 CAO Yingchang, JIANG Zaixing, XIA Bin, et al. Characters and controlling factors of T-R sequence in lacustrine deposits of rift basin: An example from the Dongying Depression, eastern China
 [J].Chinese Journal of Geology,2004,39(1):111-122.
- [33] 吴时国,孙永壮,宋建勇.济阳坳陷博兴洼陷西部沙三段层序 地层[J].海洋地质与第四纪地质,2006,26(3):101-107.
 WU Shiguo, SUN Yongzhuang, SONG Jianyong. Analysis of sequence stratigraphy of the 3rd Member of Shahejie Formation in the Boxing Sub-depression, Jiyang Depression[J]. Marine Geology & Quaternary Geology, 2006, 26(3):101-107.
- [34] 丁增勇,王良书,张鹏,等.济阳坳陷及鲁西隆起区中、新生代 伸展与断裂活动特征[J].石油与天然气地质,2008,29(1): 107-112,140.

DING Zengyong, WANG Liangshu, ZHANG Peng, et al. Crustal extension and fault activity during the Mesozoic-Cenozoic in the Jiyang Depression and the Luxi Uplift, East China [J].Oil & Gas Geology, 2008, 29(1):107-112, 140.

- [35] 卢妹男,吴智平,程燕君,等.济阳坳陷滩海地区构造演化差异 性分区[J].油气地质与采收率,2018,25(4):61-66.
 LU Shunan,WU Zhiping, CHENG Yanjun, et al.Division of differential tectonic evolution in Shengli shallow sea area of Jiyang Depression[J].Petroleum Geology and Recovery Efficiency,2018,25 (4):61-66.
- [36] 马立驰,王永诗,景安语,等.济阳坳陷滩海地区古近系构造样 式及其控藏作用[J].油气地质与采收率,2018,25(1):1-5.
 MA Lichi, WANG Yongshi, JING Anyu, et al.Paleogene tectonic styles and their controls on hydrocarbon accumulation in the shallow sea of the Jiyang Depression [J].Petroleum Geology and Recovery Efficiency, 2018,25(1):1-5.
- [37] FRIEDRICHS C T, SCULLY M E.Modeling deposition by wavesupported gravity flows on the Po River prodelta: From seasonal floods to prograding clinoforms [J]. Continental Shelf Research, 2007,27(3):322-337.
- [38] BHATTACHARYA J P, MACEACHERN J A. Hyperpycnal rivers and prodeltaic shelves in the Cretaceous seaway of North America

[J].Journal of Sedimentary Research, 2009, 79(3/4):184–209.

[39] 许承武,李忠,韩登林,等.鲁西隆起北侧博兴洼陷新生界碎屑 重矿物记录及其对构造古地理环境的指示[J].地质科学, 2009,44(1):257-267.

XU Chengwu, LI Zhong, HAN Denglin, et al. Characteristics of Mesozoic and Cenozoic heavy minerals in the Boxing Sag and their tectono-paleogeographic implications [J]. Chinese Journal of Geology, 2009, 44(1): 257-267.

- [40] 蔡观强,郭锋,刘显太,等.东营凹陷沙河街组沉积岩碳氧同位 素组成的古环境记录[J].地球与环境,2009,37(4):347-354.
 CAI Guanqiang, GUO Feng, LIU Xiantai, et al.Carbon and oxygen isotope characteristics and palaeoenvironmental implications of lacustrine carbonate rocks from the Shahejie Formation in the Dongying Sag[J].Earth & Environment,2009,37(4):347-354.
- [41] TALLING P J.On the triggers, resulting flow types and frequencies of subaqueous sediment density flows in different settings[J]. Marine Geology, 2014, 352(3):155–182.
- [42] HAUGHTON P, DAVIS C, MCCAFFREY W, et al. Hybrid sediment gravity flow deposits-Classification, origin and significance [J].Marine & Petroleum Geology, 2009, 26(10):1 900-1 918.
- [43] TALLING P J, ALLIN J, ARMITAGE D A, et al.Key future directions for research on turbidity currents and their deposits[J].Journal of Sedimentary Research, 2015, 85(2):153–169.
- [44] TALLING P J, WYNN R B, MASSON D G, et al.Onset of submarine debris flow deposition far from original giant landslide[J].Nature, 2007, 450(7169):541–544.
- [45] TALLING P J, PAULL C K, PIPER D J W. How are subaqueous sediment density flows triggered, what is their internal structure and how does it evolve?Direct observations from monitoring of ac-

tive flows [J]. Earth-Science Reviews, 2013, 125(3): 244-287.

[46] 赵约翰.济阳坳陷埕岛东坡东营组重力流沉积特征及相模式 [J].特种油气藏,2017,24(4):24-31.

ZHAO Yuehan. Gravity flow sedimentary characteristics and facies model for Dongying Formation on east slope of Chengdao, Jiyang Depression[J].Special Oil & Gas Reservoirs, 2017, 24(4): 24–31.

[47] 骆帅兵,张莉,雷振宇,等.陆坡盆地体系深水重力流形成机制、沉积模式及应用实例探讨[J].石油实验地质,2017,39(6): 747-754.

LUO Shuaibing, ZHANG Li, LEI Zhenyu, et al. Formation mechanism, sedimentary model and typical example of a deep-water gravity flow in continental slope-basin systems [J].Petroleum Geology and Experiment, 2017, 39(6):747-754.

[48] 周立宏,陈长伟,韩国猛,等.断陷湖盆异重流沉积特征与分布 模式——以歧口凹陷板桥斜坡沙一下亚段为例[J].中国石油 勘探,2018,23(4):11-20.

ZHOU Lihong, CHEN Changwei, HAN Guomeng, et al.Sedimentary characteristics and distribution patterns of hyperpycnal flow in rifted lacustrine basins: a case study on lower Es_1 of Banqiao slope in Qikou sag [J]. China Petroleum Exploration, 2018, 23 (4):11-20.

[49] MULDER T, CHAPRON E.Flood deposits in continental and marine environments: Character and significance [M]//SLATT R M, ZAVALA C.Sediment transfer from shelf to deep water-revisiting the delivery system: AAPG Studies in Geology61, 2011:1-30.

编辑 经雅丽